

"Angular" matrix integrals

Brunel University, 19 December 2008

J.-B. Zuber

A. Prats Ferrer, B. Eynard, P. Di Francesco, J.-B. Z.. "Correlation Functions of
ys.ber ~~ni~~Z.

Matrix integrals

$$Z_G = \int_G D \exp N e(\text{tr}(J)) \tag{1}$$

$$Z^{(G)} = \int_G D \exp N e(\text{tr}(A B^\dagger)) \tag{2}$$

over a compact group G , are frequently encountered in physics (and in maths) : "Bessel matrix functions" or "angular matrix integrals".

$G = O(N), U(N), Sp(N)$, with respectively $\dim = 1, 2, 4$.

Invariance under $J \rightarrow U_1 J U_2$ and $A \rightarrow U_1 A U_1^\dagger, B \rightarrow U_2 B U_2^\dagger$, resp.

Z_G expressible as a sum of $\text{tr}(J J^\dagger)^{p_i}$ and $Z^{(G)}$ as a sum of $\text{tr} A^{p_i} \text{tr} B^{q_j}$

Matrix integrals

$$Z_G = \int_G \mathcal{D} \exp N \quad e(\text{tr}(A)) \quad (1)$$

$$Z^{(G)} = \int_G \mathcal{D} \exp N \quad e(\text{tr}(A B^\dagger)) \quad (2)$$

over a compact group G , are frequently encountered in physics (and in maths) : "Bessel matrix functions". Mostly studied for $G = \text{U}(N)$ ($\dim = 2$).

What happens for other groups, e.g. $G = \text{O}(N)$ ($\dim = 1$), $\text{Sp}(N)$ ($\dim = 4$)?

- If A and B are both real **skew-symmetric** (i.e. in the Lie algebra of $\mathfrak{o}(N)$), resp. both quaternionic **antiselfdual** (in $\mathfrak{sp}(N)$), Z is known exactly from the work of [Harish-Chandra '57](#). Also correlation functions are known [[Eynard et al](#)].
- If A and B are both real **symmetric**, resp. both quat. **selfdual**, much more complicated and elusive, [[Brézin & Hikami '02-06](#), [Bergère & Eynard 08](#)].
- if they are neither, ...?
- Expect simplification as $N \rightarrow \infty$ [[Weingarten '78](#)]. Universality of (1), (2).

1. The Harish-Chandra integral. [Harish-Chandra 1957]

For A and B in the *Lie algebra* \mathfrak{g} of G , in fact in a *Cartan algebra*

$$Z^{(G)} = \int_G \exp N \operatorname{tr}(A B^{-1}) = \operatorname{const.} \frac{\exp N \operatorname{tr} AB^W}{G(A) G(B^W)} \quad (3)$$

$G(A) := \prod_{\alpha > 0} \alpha(A)$, A , a product over the positive roots, W the Weyl group.

685190Td[(0)]T9626Tf6.57645.85190TU9626Tf7.65120Td[(B3)]TJ
G

D

;

;

1. The Harish-Chandra integral [Harish-Chandra 1957]

For A and B in the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} of G , in fact in a Cartan algebra

$$Z^{(G)} = \int_G D \exp N \operatorname{tr}(A B^{-1}) = \operatorname{const.} \int_W \frac{\exp N \operatorname{tr} AB^w}{G(A, B, w)}$$

1. The Harish-Chandra integral [Harish-Chandra 1957]

For A and B in the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} of G , in fact in a Cartan algebra

$$Z^{(G)} = \int_G D \exp N \operatorname{tr}(A B^{-1}) = \operatorname{const.} \frac{\exp N \operatorname{tr} AB^W}{G(A) G(B^W)} \quad (5)$$

$G(A) := \prod_{\alpha > 0} \alpha(A)$, A , a product over the positive roots, W the Weyl group.

More concretely, for $G = U(N)$, take $A = \operatorname{diag}(a_i)$, $B = \operatorname{diag}(b_i)$

$$Z^{(U)} = \operatorname{const.} \frac{\det e^{N a_i b_j}}{\prod_{i < j} (a_i - a_j)(b_i - b_j)} \quad [\text{Itzykson-Z '80}]$$

and for $G =$

Proofs of this H-C formula

- Heat kernel

$Z = t^{-\frac{1}{2} \dim G} \int_G D e^{-\frac{1}{2t} \text{tr}(A - B^\dagger)^2}$ satisfies $(N - \frac{1}{t} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial A^2})Z = 0$ and boundary cond $Z \Big|_{t=0} = \text{const} \int_G d(A - B^\dagger)$. Rewrite in "radial coordinates" a_i using the expression of the Laplacian

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial A^2} = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial G^2}(A) \quad i \quad \frac{\partial^2}{\partial a_i^2}$$

Correlation functions

What about the associated "correlation functions" of invariant traces

$$\int \mathcal{D} \phi e^{-\text{tr} A \phi \phi^\dagger} \text{tr} (A^{p_1} \phi \phi^\dagger A^{p_2} \phi \phi^\dagger) \mathcal{D} \phi$$

Correlation functions

What about the associated "correlation functions" of invariant traces

$$\int D e^{-\text{tr} A B^\dagger} \text{tr}(A^{p_1} B^{q_1} A^{p_2} \dots) \quad ?$$

(still invariant under $A \rightarrow U_1 A U_1^\dagger, B \rightarrow U_2 B U_2^\dagger$)

Is there still some localization property? Yes!

$$\int D e^{-\text{tr} A B^\dagger} F(A, B^\dagger) = c_n \frac{e^{-\text{tr} A B^W}}{(A) (B^W)} \int_{n_+=[b,b]} D T e^{-\text{tr} T T^\dagger} F(A + T, B^W + T^\dagger)$$

2. The integral (2) in the symmetric case

$$Z^{(G)} = \int_G D \exp N \operatorname{tr}(A B^\dagger)$$

for $A = A^\dagger$ and $B = B^\dagger$.

For $G = U(N)$, A and B hermitian rather than *anti*hermitian, no difference, HCIZ formula works.

For $G = O(N)$, A and B real symmetric, ??G105.982 ??G105.1898pd[(real)-250(symme10051)-25902Td[(F)15(or)]TJ/F329.96

Many nice features

- finite (semi-classical) expansion and “-expansion” for an

$\sum_k M_{ik} = \sum_i M_{ik} = Z$ and $\sum_j K_{ij} M_{jk} = (N^{-1}) M_{ik} b_k$. Can iterate that equation to get

$$\sum_j K_{ij}^p M_{jk} = M_{ik} (N^{-1})^p b_k^p$$

and summing over i and k

$$\left(\sum_{ij} K_{ij}^p \right) Z = (N^{-1})^p \text{tr } B^p Z. \tag{7}$$

a differential operator of order p

Two remarks

1. *This solves the following problem :*

Define the differential operator $D_p(\ / \ A)$ by

$$D_p(\ / \ A) e^{\text{Tr } AB} = N^p \text{tr } B^p e^{\text{Tr } AB}$$

If D_p acts on *invariant functions* $F(A) = F(A^{-1})$, how to write it in terms

of / a

3. Large N limit

Expect things to simplify as $N \rightarrow \infty$ [Weingarten '78]. Look at the “free energies” :

$$W_G(J, J^\dagger) = \lim_N \frac{1}{N^2} \log Z_G$$

and

$$F_G(A, B) = \lim_N \frac{1}{N^2} \log Z^{(G)}$$

Then $W(X)$ and $F(A, B)$ are, up to an overall factor, independent of $G = O(N), U(N)$!

(Not true at finite N !)

More precisely,

$$W_0(J.J^\dagger) = 1$$

For $Z_0 = \int_{O(N)} DO \exp N \text{tr}(J.O)$, follow the steps of [Brézin-Gross '80]:
 the trivial identity $\sum_j \frac{2Z_0}{J_{ij} J_{kj}} = N^2 \delta_{ik} Z_0$ is reexpressed in terms of the
 eigenvalues λ_j of the real symmetric matrix $J.J^t$:

$$4 \sum_i \frac{2Z_0}{\lambda_i^2} + \sum_{j=i} \frac{2}{\lambda_j - \lambda_i} \frac{Z_0}{\lambda_j} - \frac{Z_0}{\lambda_i} +$$

For $Z^{(0)} = \int_{O(N)} D O \exp N \text{tr} (A O B O^t)$, take A and B both skew-symmetric, or both symmetric.

- A and B both skew-symmetric [Harish-Chandra]

block-diagonal form $A = \text{diag} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_i \\ -a_i & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{i=1, \dots, m}$, B likewise, recall

$$Z^{(0)} = \text{const.} \frac{\det(2 \cosh 2 N a_i b_j)}{o(a) o(b)}$$

(for $O(N = 2m)$), with $o(a) = \prod_{i < j} (a_i^2 - a_j^2)$.

Regard A as $N \times N$ anti-Hermitian, eigenvalues $A_j = \pm i a_j$, B likewise. Easy to check that as $N \rightarrow \infty$,

$$Z^{(U)}(A, B) = \frac{\det e^{2 N A_i B_j}}{(A) (B)} \frac{(\det(e^{2 N a_i b_j})_{1 \leq i, j \leq m})^2}{o(a) o(b)} = (Z^{(0)}(A, B))^2$$

- A and B both symmetric

Can take them in diagonal form $A = \text{diag } a_i, B = \text{diag } b_i$

Then Bergère-Eynard equation $D_p Z = (N^{-p}) \text{tr } B^p Z$ (7), in the large N limit, yields

$$\sum_i \frac{N}{a_i} \frac{F^{(G)}}{a_i} + \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{j=i} \frac{1}{a_i - a_j} = \text{tr } B^p \quad (11)$$

Hence $F^{(G)} (\nu = 1)$ satisfies same set of equations as $\frac{1}{2} F^{(U)} (\nu = 2)$, QED.

Particular case where A is of finite *rank* r . Then in the expansion of $F = \sum_{p,q} (\frac{1}{N} \text{tr} A^p) (\frac{1}{N} \text{tr} B^q)$, terms with a single trace of A dominate.

In the $U(N)$ case (and $N \rightarrow \infty$) ([IZ '80])

$$F^{(U)} \sim \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p} \left(\frac{1}{N} \text{tr} A^p \right) \mu_p(B)$$

where $\mu_p(B) = p$ -th "non-crossing cumulant" of B
 ([Br

Spin glass Hamiltonian with n replicas of N Ising spins

$$H = \sum_{i,j=1}^N \sum_{a=1}^n \sigma_i^a \sigma_j^a O_{ij} \quad \text{of rank } n$$

with a coupling O_{ij} , a real, orthogonal, symmetric matrix with an equal number of ± 1 eigenvalues, $O = V^t \cdot D \cdot V$.

Have to compute $Z = \int_{O(N)} dV \exp \text{tr} D V V^t$.

Now according to Marinari, Parisi, Ritort, pretend you integrate over the unitary group,

compute $\frac{1}{p} \text{tr} D^p =: \text{tr} G(p)$

and (with some insight ...) the correct formula is $\frac{1}{2} G(2) ! \dots$

Proved later by [Collins, Collins and Sniady, Guionnet & Maida](#)

Conclusion and Open issues

- More explicit formulae for Z , F
- A priori argument for universality, graphical argument?
- Relations with integrability: D-H localization, finite semi-classical expansions, Calogero, ...